Timing Channel: Achievable Rate in the Finite Block-Length Regime

Thomas J. Riedl, Todd P. Coleman and Andrew C. Singer
University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign
Urbana, IL 61801, USA
Email: triedl2@illinois.edu

arXiv:1605.07905v1 [cs.IT] 25 May 2016

I. Introduction

II. Basic Definitions and Conventions

- Symbols denoting random variables are capitalized but symbols denoting their realization are not.
- Bold face is used for vectors.
- Blackboard bold or non-italic face are used for sets, calligraphic face for system of sets.
- We use $\bar{x}$ as a shorthand for the difference $1-x$ for $x \in [0,1]$.
- $\mathbb{Z}$ is the set of all integers and $\mathbb{Z}_+ = \{z \in \mathbb{Z} : z \geq 0\}$.
- $\mathbf{2}$ is the set $\{0,1\}$.
- $[n]$ denotes the set $\{z \in \mathbb{Z} : 1 \leq z \leq n\}$.
- Given the input and output sets X^n and Y^n a channel is a function $P_{Y^n|X^n}(\cdot|\cdot) : \mathcal{A} \times \mathrm{X}^n \to [0,1]$ such that for each $\boldsymbol{x}^n \in \mathrm{X}^n$ $P_{Y^n|X^n}(\cdot|\boldsymbol{x}^n)$ is a probability measure on $\mathcal{A}$. $\mathcal{A}$ is some σ-algebra over Y^n.
- We define the finite sets X^n and Y^n to be $\mathbb{Z}_+ \times \mathbf{2}^{n-1}$ and $\mathbf{2}^n$, repectively, and associate the σ-algebras $\mathcal{P}(\mathrm{X}^n)$ and $\mathcal{P}(\mathrm{Y}^n)$ with them. $\mathcal{P}$ indicates the power set.
- Given a distribution P_{X^n} on X^n we define the distribution $P_{Y^n} = \int_{\mathrm{X}^n} P_{Y^n|X^n}(\boldsymbol{y}^n|\boldsymbol{x}^n) P_{X^n}(dX^n)$ and the function $i(\boldsymbol{x}^n, \boldsymbol{y}^n) = \log \frac{P_{Y^n|X^n}(\boldsymbol{y}^n|\boldsymbol{x}^n)}{P_{Y^n}(\boldsymbol{y}^n)}$ for $\boldsymbol{x}^n \in \mathrm{X}^n$ and $\boldsymbol{y}^n \in \mathrm{Y}^n$. This function is called the information density.
- The expected value of a random variable X is denoted by $\mathbb{E}[X]$.
- The mutual information between two random vectors $\boldsymbol{X}^n$ and $\boldsymbol{Y}^n$ is $\mathbb{E}[i(\boldsymbol{x}^n, \boldsymbol{y}^n)]$.
- The capacity $C(\lambda)$ of the channel is $\sup_{P_{X^n}} \mathbb{E}[i(\boldsymbol{x}^n, \boldsymbol{y}^n)]$ where P_{X^n} is such that the rate $\mathbb{E}[\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} X_i]/(n-1)$ does not exceed λ.
- The binary entropy function $H(p) = -p \log p - \bar{p} \log \bar{p}$.
- We drop subscripts whenever they are clear from the context. For example $P_{Y^n|X^n}(\boldsymbol{y}^n|\boldsymbol{x}^n) = P(\boldsymbol{y}^n|\boldsymbol{x}^n)$.

III. System Description and Preliminaries

The communication channel we consider is an interesting example of a channel with memory. It is essentially a probabilistic single server queuing system with the length of the queue being the memory of channel. At each discrete time instance i the random variable $\tilde{X}_i$, $i \in [n-1]$ indicates if there was an arrival at the back of the queue at time i. The initial length of the queue Q_0 is a non-negative integer-valued random variable with distribution P_{Q_0}. The variable Q_0 together with the vector $\tilde{X}_i$, $i \in [n-1]$ is considered the channel input vector $\boldsymbol{X}^n \in \mathrm{X}^n$. We define the random variables $X_i, \tilde{Y}_i, Y_i$ and Q_i for $i \in [n-1] \cup \{0\}$ such that

$$X_i = \sum_{l=1}^{i} \tilde{X}_l \tag{1}$$

$$Y_i = \sum_{l=0}^{i} \tilde{Y}_l \tag{2}$$

$$Q_i = Q_0 + X_i - Y_{i-1} = Q_{i-1} + \tilde{X}_i - \tilde{Y}_{i-1} \tag{3}$$

where the binary random variables $\tilde{Y}_i$ are conditionally independent given Q_i and are distributed according to the transition probability function

$$P_{\tilde{Y}_i|Q_i}(\tilde{Y}_i|Q_i) = \begin{cases} 1; & \tilde{Y}_i = 0, Q_i = 0 \\ \bar{\mu}; & \tilde{Y}_i = 0, Q_i > 0 \\ \mu; & \tilde{Y}_i = 1, Q_i > 0 \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

The vector $\tilde{Y}_i$, $i \in [n-1] \cup \{0\}$ is considered the channel output vector $\boldsymbol{Y}^n \in \mathrm{Y}^n$.

Clearly, X_i (Y_i) counts the total number of arrivals (departures), Q_i denotes the length of the queue at time i and $\tilde{Y}_i$ indicates if there was a departure from the front of the queue at time i. The described relationships are illustrated in Figure 1.



Figure 1. Timing Channel Diagram

In principle the distribution on $\boldsymbol{X}^n$ could be chosen arbitrary but we will assume $\tilde{X}_i$, $i \in [n-1]$ to be i.i.d. Bernoulli(λ) random variables for the following reason:

Theorem 1. *The distribution on $\boldsymbol{X}^n$ that achieves capacity makes $\tilde{X}_i$, $i \in [n-1]$ i.i.d. Bernoulli(λ).*

Proof: The proof can be found in [1]. ∎

Most of the analysis in this paper is built on the theory of Markov chains and so clearly we have to start with a proper definition of this kind of stochastic process. Given a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ a discrete time stochastic process Φ on a state space $\mathbb{X}$ is, for our purposes, a collection of random variables Φ_i, $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ where each Φ_i takes values in the set $\mathbb{X}$. The random variables are measurable with respect to some given σ-field $\mathcal{A}$ over $\mathbb{X}$. We only consider countable state spaces here and can hence take Ω to be the product space $\prod_{i=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{N}_0$ and take $\mathcal{F}$ to be the product σ-algebra $\bigvee_{i=0}^{\infty} \sigma(\mathbb{N}_0)$ defined in the usual way. If $P(\Phi_{i+1} = \phi_{i+1} | \Phi_i = \phi_i, \ldots, \Phi_0 = \phi_0) = P(\Phi_{i+1} = \phi_{i+1} | \Phi_i = \phi_i)$ holds, we call the process Markov. Given an initial measure P_{Φ_0} and transition probabilities $P_{\Phi_{i+1}|\Phi_i}(\phi_{i+1}|\phi_i)$ there exists a probability law P satisfying $P(\Phi_{i+1} = \phi_{i+1}, \Phi_i = \phi_i, \ldots, \Phi_0 = \phi_0) = P_{\Phi_0}(\phi_0) \prod_{l=0}^{i} P_{\Phi_{l+1}|\Phi_l}(\phi_{l+1}|\phi_l)$ by the Kolmogorov extension theorem [2], [3].

The length of the queue Q_i forms an irreducible Markov chain $Q = \{\Omega, \mathcal{F}, Q_i, P_Q\}$ with transition probabilities

$$P_{Q_{i+1}|Q_i}(q_{i+1}|q_i) = \begin{cases} \lambda; & q_{i+1} = q_i + 1, q_i = 0 \\ \bar{\lambda}; & q_{i+1} = q_i, q_i = 0 \\ \bar{\lambda}\mu; & q_{i+1} = q_i - 1, q_i > 0 \\ \lambda\bar{\mu}; & q_{i+1} = q_i + 1, q_i > 0 \\ 1 - \lambda\bar{\mu} - \bar{\lambda}\mu; & q_{i+1} = q_i, q_i > 0 \end{cases} \tag{5}$$

If and only if $\lambda < \mu$, there exists a probability measure π_Q on $\mathbb{N}_0$ that solves the system of equations

$$\sum_{q_i \in \mathbb{N}_0} \pi_Q(q_i) P_{Q_{i+1}|Q_i}(q_{i+1}|q_i) = \pi_Q(q_{i+1}) \tag{6}$$

for all $q_{i+1} \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and this measure is called the invariant measure. Note that for irreducible Markov chains the existence of such a probability measure is equivalent to positive recurrence. For the transition probabilities given it can be checked that

$$\pi_Q(q_i) = \begin{cases} \frac{\bar{\lambda}\mu - \lambda\bar{\mu}}{\mu}; q_i = 0 \\ \frac{\bar{\lambda}\mu - \lambda\bar{\mu}}{\bar{\mu}\mu} \rho^{q_i}; q_i > 0 \end{cases} \tag{7}$$

where we defined

$$\rho = \frac{\lambda\bar{\mu}}{\bar{\lambda}\mu}. \tag{8}$$

Note that $\rho < 1$ if and only if $\lambda < \mu$. In the remainder of the paper we will always assume that the arrival rate λ is smaller than the serving rate μ.

Theorem 2. *The distribution on $\boldsymbol{X}^n$ that achieves capacity makes Q_0 distributed according to π_Q.*

Proof: The proof can be found in [1]. ∎

And we will hence always assume that Q_0 is distributed according to π_Q.

Definition 1. *Let $0 < \epsilon < 1$. An ϵ-Code of size N is defined as a sequence $\{(\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)}, \boldsymbol{D}^{(i)}), i = 1, \ldots, N\}$ such that $\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)} \in \mathbb{X}^n$, $\boldsymbol{D}^{(i)} \subset \mathbb{Y}^n$, $\boldsymbol{D}^{(i)}$ are mutually disjoint and $P(\boldsymbol{D}^{(i)}|\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)}) > 1 - \epsilon$ $\forall i$. Let $N(\epsilon, n)$ be the supremum of the set of integers N such that an ϵ-Code of size N exists.*

Note that $\frac{\log N(\epsilon,n)}{n}$ equals the rate of the code.

Theorem 3. *The expression for the capacity C of the channel simplifies to*

$$C = H(\lambda) - \frac{\lambda}{\mu} H(\mu) \tag{9}$$

and

$$\log N(\epsilon, n) = nC + \epsilon\mathcal{O}(n) \tag{10}$$

Proof: A proof of a similar result for the continuos time case appeared in the landmark paper "Bits through Queues" [4] and the stated discrete time result was proved in [1]. ∎

Theorem 4. (Burke's Theorem) *Given the queue Q is in equilibrium and the random variables $\tilde{X}_i$, $i \in [n-1]$ are i.i.d. Bernoulli(λ) then the random variables $\tilde{Y}_i$, $i \in [n-1] \cup \{0\}$ are also i.i.d. Bernoulli(λ).*

Proof: The proof is similar to the one for continuous time queues and can be found in [5]. A concise proof is also given in the appendix. ∎

By the above theorem $P(\boldsymbol{y}^n) = \prod_{i=0}^{n} P(\tilde{y}_i)$ and

$$P(\tilde{y}_i) = \lambda \cdot \tilde{y}_i + \bar{\lambda} \cdot \bar{\tilde{y}}_i. \tag{11}$$

The following lemma uses arguments introduced by Feinstein [6] to give a lower bound on $N(\epsilon, n)$.

Lemma 1. (Feinstein) $N(\epsilon, n) \geq e^{\theta} \left(\epsilon - P(i(\boldsymbol{x}^n, \boldsymbol{y}^n) \leq \theta)\right)$ *for all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$.*

Proof: The proof is short and elegant and reproduced in the appendix. ∎

IV. Finite-Length Scaling

The distributions $P(\boldsymbol{y}^n|\boldsymbol{x}^n)$ and $P(\boldsymbol{y}^n)$ factor and hence

$$i(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \log \frac{P(\tilde{y}_i|q_i)}{P(\tilde{y}_i)} = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(\tilde{y}_i, q_i) \tag{12}$$

where we defined

$$f(\tilde{y}_i, q_i) = \log \frac{P(\tilde{y}_i|q_i)}{P(\tilde{y}_i)}. \tag{13}$$

The composed state $\psi_i = (\tilde{y}_i, q_i)$ again forms a positive recurrent Markov chain $\Psi = \{\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \Psi_i, P_\Psi\}$ whose transition probabilities are illustrated in Figure 2. The invariant measure π_Ψ for this chain is only a slight extension to π_Q:

$$\pi_\Psi(\tilde{y}, q) = P_{\tilde{Y}_i|Q_i}(\tilde{y}|q)\pi_Q(q) \tag{14}$$

The proof of the following theorem is one of the main contributions of this paper because it can be used to proof bounds and an asymptotic on the quantity $N(\epsilon, n)$.

Theorem 5. *The asymptotic variance*

$$\sigma^2 = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{Var}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(\tilde{y}_i, q_i)\right) \tag{15}$$

is well defined, positive and finite, and

$$\sigma^2 = \mathrm{Var}(f(\Psi_0)) + 2\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{Cov}(f(\Psi_0), f(\Psi_i)). \tag{16}$$

Further the following Berry-Esseen type bound holds:

$$\sup_{\xi\in\mathbb{R}} \left| P\left(\frac{\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(\tilde{y}_i, q_i) - n\pi_\Psi(f)}{\sigma\sqrt{n}} \le \xi \right) - \Phi(\xi) \right| \le \mathcal{O}(n^{-1/2}) \tag{17}$$

Proof: A detailed proof can be found in appendix. We only give a sketch here. The Markov Chain Ψ is aperiodic and irreducible. The state space of Ψ_i can be chosen to be $\mathbb{X} = \mathbf{2} \times \mathbb{N} \cup \{(0,0)\}$. First we verify that there exists a Lyapunov function $V : \mathbb{X} \to (0, \infty]$, finite at some $\psi_0 \in \mathbb{X}$, a finite set $\mathbb{S} \subset \mathbb{X}$, and $b < \infty$ such that

$$\mathrm{E}[V(\Psi_{i+1}) - V(\Psi_i)|\Psi_i = \psi] \le -1 + b\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{S}}(\psi), \quad \psi \in \mathbb{X} \tag{18}$$

The chain is skip-free and the found Lyapunov function is linear and hence also Lipschitz. These properties imply that the chain is geometric ergodic [2], [7], [8] and the bound in Equation 17 hence holds by arguments made in [9]. ∎

An explicit solution to the asymptotic variance of a general irreducible positive recurrent Markov chain is not available. Significant research in the area of steady-state stochastic simulation has focused on obtaining an expression for this quantity [10]–[12] and has yielded a closed form solution for the class of homogeneous birth-death processes when $f(\psi_i)$ simply returns the integer valued state itself.

We build up on an idea introduced in [13] to give an explicit closed form solution to the asymptotic variance in Equation 15.

Theorem 6. *The closed form expressions in Equation 24 equals the asymptotic variance defined in Equation 15.*

Proof: Again we only sketch the proof here and refer to the appendix for a detailed version. For the computation of the sum $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \mathrm{Cov}(f(\Psi_0), f(\Psi_i))$ we will setup and solve a recursion. Grassmann proposed this approach in [13] to obtain the asymptotic variance of a continuous time finte state birth death process.



Figure 2. Possible Transitions in the Markov Chain $(\tilde{Y}, Q)$

We define

$$r(\psi, i) = \sum_{\psi'\in\mathbb{X}} (f(\psi') - C)\pi_\Psi(\psi')p_{\Psi_i|\Psi_0}(\psi|\psi') \tag{19}$$

Clearly

$$r(\psi, 0) = (f(\psi) - C)\pi_\Psi(\psi) \tag{20}$$

and

$$\mathrm{Cov}(f(\Psi_0), f(\Psi_i)) = \sum_{\psi\in\mathbb{X}} (f(\psi) - C)r(\psi, i) = \sum_{\psi\in\mathbb{X}} f(\psi)r(\psi, i) \tag{21}$$

Note however that for the computation of the asymptotic variance we actually do not even need to know this covariance for each i. It is sufficient to know its sum. So we define

$$R(\psi) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} r(\psi, i) \tag{22}$$

write

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \mathrm{Cov}(f(\Psi_0), f(\Psi_i)) = \sum_{\psi\in\mathbb{X}} f(\psi)R(\psi) \tag{23}$$

and derive an expression for $R(\psi)$. ∎

Using the result stated in Theorem 5 we can finally prove the core contribution of this paper:

Theorem 7.

$$\log N(n, \epsilon) \ge nC - \sqrt{n}\sigma Q^{-1}(\epsilon) - \frac{1}{2}\log n + O(1) \tag{29}$$

where $C = \pi_\Psi(f)$ and σ is defined as in Theorem 5.

Proof: By Theorem 5 $\exists A > 0$:

$$|P((i(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) - nC)/\sqrt{n\sigma^2} \le \xi_1) - \Phi(\xi_1)| \le \frac{A}{\sqrt{n}} \ \forall \xi_1 \in \mathbb{R} \tag{30}$$

$$\sigma^2 = -\operatorname{Var}(f(\Psi_0)) + 2\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\operatorname{Cov}(f(\Psi_0), f(\Psi_i)) \tag{24}$$

$$\operatorname{Var}(f(\Psi_0)) = \log^2(\frac{1}{\bar{\lambda}})\pi_Q(0) + \log^2(\frac{\mu}{\lambda})\mu\overline{\pi_Q(0)} + \log^2(\frac{\bar{\mu}}{\bar{\lambda}})\bar{\mu}\overline{\pi_Q(0)} - C^2 \tag{25}$$

$$c_{M0} = \frac{\bar{\lambda}}{\bar{\mu}}\left(\mu\log(\frac{\mu}{\lambda}) + \bar{\mu}\log(\frac{\bar{\mu}}{\bar{\lambda}}) - C\right) \tag{26}$$

$$c_{\tilde{M}} = \left\{\frac{c_{M0}}{\mu} + (C - \log\frac{\mu}{\lambda})\frac{\pi_Q(0)}{\bar{\mu}}\right\} \tag{27}$$

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\operatorname{Cov}(f(\Psi_0), f(\Psi_i)) = \log\frac{1}{\bar{\lambda}}(-c_{\tilde{M}}\frac{\rho}{1-\rho} - c_{M0}\rho) + \log\frac{\mu}{\lambda}c_{M0}\frac{\rho}{1-\rho} + + \log\frac{\bar{\mu}}{\bar{\lambda}}\frac{\rho}{1-\rho}(c_{\tilde{M}} - \rho c_{M0}) \tag{28}$$

Let $B > A$ and $\xi_1 = \Phi^{-1}(\epsilon - \frac{B}{\sqrt{n}}) < \Phi^{-1}(\epsilon) = \xi_0$. Set $\theta = \sqrt{n}\sigma\xi_1 + nC$ and the application of Lemma 1 yields

$$\log N(n,\epsilon) - nC - \sqrt{n}\sigma\xi_0$$
$$\geq \log\left(\epsilon - P\left(\frac{i(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{y}) - nC}{\sqrt{n}\sigma} \leq \xi_1\right)\right) + \sqrt{n}\sigma(\xi_1 - \xi_0) \tag{31}$$

$$\geq \log\left(\epsilon - \Phi(\xi_1) - \frac{A}{\sqrt{n}}\right) + \sqrt{n}\sigma O(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}) \tag{32}$$

■

This confirms that C is the operational capacity of the channel and any rate $R < C$ is achievable. The real beauty of Theorem 7 is, however, that we can use the asymptotic

$$\frac{\log N(n,\epsilon)}{n} \sim C - n^{-1/2}\sigma Q^{-1}(\epsilon) \tag{33}$$

as an approximation to the channel coding rate $\frac{\log N(n,\epsilon)}{n}$ and then anticipate the achievable rate on this channel in the finite block length regime. For illustraton we plotted this asymptotic for blocklengths ranging between 50 and 3000 and the example values $\lambda = 0.2$, $\mu = 0.8$ and $\epsilon = 10^{-5}$ in Figure 3.



Figure 3. Channel Coding Rate in the Finite Block-Length Regime

V. Proof of Theorem 4

VI. Proof of Lemma 1

Proof: Assume N is the maximal size of an ϵ-Code such that $\boldsymbol{D}^{(i)} \subset F(\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)})$, where

$$F(\boldsymbol{x}) = \{\boldsymbol{y} : i(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{y}) > \theta\}. \tag{34}$$

Then we have

$$P(\boldsymbol{D}^{(i)}) = \int_{\boldsymbol{D}^{(i)}} P(d\boldsymbol{y}) < \int_{\boldsymbol{D}^{(i)}} e^{-\theta}P(d\boldsymbol{y}|\boldsymbol{x}) \leq e^{-\theta} \tag{35}$$

and

$$P(\cup_i \boldsymbol{D}^{(i)}) \leq \sum_i P(\boldsymbol{D}^{(i)}) \leq Ne^{-\theta} \tag{36}$$

Let $\boldsymbol{D} = \cup_i \boldsymbol{D}^{(i)}$. By the maximality of N it follows that

$$P(\boldsymbol{D}^c \cap F(\boldsymbol{x})|\boldsymbol{x}) < 1 - \epsilon. \tag{37}$$

Or equivalently

$$\epsilon < P(\boldsymbol{D} \cup F^c(\boldsymbol{x})|\boldsymbol{x}) \leq P(\boldsymbol{D}|\boldsymbol{x}) + P(F^c(\boldsymbol{x})|\boldsymbol{x}) \tag{38}$$

Multiplying this inequality with $P(d\boldsymbol{y})$ and integrating it over $\boldsymbol{x}$ then yields

$$\epsilon \leq P(\boldsymbol{D}) + P(i(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{y}) \leq \theta) \tag{39}$$

Putting everything together we obtain the result

$$\epsilon - P(i(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{y}) \leq \theta) \leq P(\boldsymbol{D}) \leq Ne^{-\theta} \tag{40}$$

■

VII. Proof of Theorem 5

The Markov Chain Ψ is aperiodic and irreducible. The state space of Ψ_i can be chosen to be $\mathbb{X} = \mathbf{2} \times \mathbb{N} \cup \{(0,0)\}$. First we verify that Foster's Criterion holds

Lemma 2. *There exists a Lyapunov function $V : \mathbb{X} \to (0,\infty]$, finite at some $\psi_0 \in \mathbb{X}$, a finite set $\mathbb{S} \subset \mathbb{X}$, and $b < \infty$ such that*

$$\mathrm{E}[V(\Psi_{i+1}) - V(\Psi_i)|\Psi_i = \psi] \leq -1 + b\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{S}}(\psi), \quad \psi \in \mathbb{X} \tag{41}$$

Further this function V is Lipschitz, i.e., for some $\alpha > 0$

$$|V(y) - V(x)| \leq \alpha||y - x|| \quad \forall y, x \in \mathbb{X} \tag{42}$$

and for some $\beta > 0$ and

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{X}} \mathrm{E}[e^{\beta||\Psi_{i+1} - \Psi_i||} | \Psi_i = x] < \infty \tag{43}$$

Proof: We need to find a function V such that $\mathrm{E}[V(\Psi_{i+1}) - V(\Psi_i)|\Psi_i = \psi] \leq -1$ for all but a finite number of $\psi \in \mathbb{X}$. If we simply choose $V(\tilde{y}, q) = cq$ for some sufficiently large constant $c > 0$ then the requirement is clearly satisfied for all $\psi \in \mathbb{X}$ such that $\tilde{y} = 1$ but it fails to hold otherwise. To fix this shortcoming we reward the transitions to a state with $\tilde{y} = 1$ by a decreasing difference $V(\Psi_{i+1}) - V(\Psi_i)$. In particular we choose $V(\tilde{y}, q) = (q - \tilde{y})/(\mu - \lambda)$. Standard calculations reveal that for that choice $\mathrm{E}[V(\Psi_{i+1}) - V(\Psi_i)|\Psi_i = \psi] = -1$ for all $\psi \in \mathbb{X}$ with $q > 1$. Linear functions are always Lipschitz and $||\Psi_{i+1} - \Psi_i||$ is bounded almost surely. ∎

By the results in [7] or Proposition A.5.7. in [8] the chain Ψ is then geometrically ergodic.

By Theorem A.5.8. in [8] the asymptotic variance σ^2 is well defined, non-negative and finite, and

$$\sigma^2 = \mathrm{Var}(f(\Psi_0)) + 2\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{Cov}(f(\Psi_0), f(\Psi_i)) \tag{44}$$

Finally, $f(\tilde{y}, q)$ is a bounded, nonlattice (I still have to check that!), real-valued functional on the state space $\mathbb{X}$ and hence

$$P_{\psi_0}\left(\frac{\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(\tilde{y}_i, q_i) - n\pi_\Psi(f)}{\sigma\sqrt{n}} \leq \xi\right) - \Phi(\xi) \tag{45}$$

$$= \frac{p_\Phi(\xi)}{\sigma\sqrt{n}}\left[\frac{\eta}{6\sigma^2}(1 - \xi^2) - \hat{f}(\psi_0)\right] + o(n^{-1/2}) \tag{46}$$

where $p_\Phi(\xi)$ denotes the density of the standard Normal distribution Φ, $\hat{f}$ is the solution to Poissons equation and η is a constant [9]. The solution $\hat{f}$ can be chosen such that $\pi_\Psi(\hat{f}) = 0$ and the claim follows by averaging out ψ_0.

VIII. Proof of Theorem 6

Using the representation of σ^2 in Equation 16 it remains to find explicit expressions for $\mathrm{Var}(f(\Psi_0))$ and the sum $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \mathrm{Cov}(f(\Psi_0), f(\Psi_i))$.

The term $\mathrm{Var}(f(\Psi_0))$ is easy to compute

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Var}(f(\Psi_0)) =& \log^2(\frac{1}{\lambda})\pi_Q(0) + \log^2(\frac{\mu}{\lambda})\mu\overline{\pi_Q(0)} \\ &+ \log^2(\frac{\bar{\mu}}{\lambda})\bar{\mu}\overline{\pi_Q(0)} - C^2\end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

Equation 28 holds true.

Proof: For the computation of the sum $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \mathrm{Cov}(f(\Psi_0), f(\Psi_i))$ we will setup and solve a recursion. Grassmann proposed this approach in [13] to obtain the asymptotic variance of a continuous time finte state birth death process.

We define

$$r(\psi, i) = \sum_{\psi' \in \mathbb{X}} (f(\psi') - C)\pi_\Psi(\psi')p_{\Psi_i|\Psi_0}(\psi|\psi') \tag{48}$$

Clearly

$$r(\psi, 0) = (f(\psi) - C)\pi_\Psi(\psi) \tag{49}$$

and

$$\mathrm{Cov}(f(\Psi_0), f(\Psi_i)) = \sum_{\psi \in \mathbb{X}} (f(\psi) - C)r(\psi, i) = \sum_{\psi \in \mathbb{X}} f(\psi)r(\psi, i) \tag{50}$$

Note however that for the computation of the asymptotic variance we actually do not even need to know this covariance for each i. It is sufficient to know its sum. So we define

$$R(\psi) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} r(\psi, i) \tag{51}$$

write

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \mathrm{Cov}(f(\Psi_0), f(\Psi_i)) = \sum_{\psi \in \mathbb{X}} f(\psi)R(\psi) \tag{52}$$

and derive a recursion for $R(\psi)$.

For mean ergodic Markov processes $p_{\Psi_i|\Psi_0}(\psi|\psi') \to \pi_\Psi(\psi)$ as $i \to \infty$ and hence

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} r(\psi, i) = 0 \tag{53}$$

Summing $r(\psi, i + 1) - r(\psi, i)$ in i from zero to infinity then clearly yields $(C - f(\psi))\pi_\Psi(\psi)$. By the Chapman-Kolmogorov equations

$$\begin{aligned}&p_{\Psi_{i+1}|\Psi_0}(\psi|\psi') - p_{\Psi_i|\Psi_0}(\psi|\psi') \\ &= \sum_{\psi'' \in \mathbb{X}} p_{\Psi_i|\Psi_0}(\psi''|\psi')\left\{p_{\Psi_{i+1}|\Psi_i}(\psi|\psi'') - \delta_{\psi,\psi''}\right\}\end{aligned} \tag{54}$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned}&r(\psi, i+1) - r(\psi, i) \\ &= \sum_{\psi' \in \mathbb{X}} (f(\psi') - C)\pi_\Psi(\psi')\left\{p_{\Psi_{i+1}|\Psi_0}(\psi|\psi') - p_{\Psi_i|\Psi_0}(\psi|\psi')\right\} \\ &= \sum_{\psi'' \in \mathbb{X}} \left\{p_{\Psi_{i+1}|\Psi_i}(\psi|\psi'') - \delta_{\psi,\psi''}\right\} r(\psi'', i)\end{aligned} \tag{55}$$

If this expression for $r(\psi, i + 1) - r(\psi, i)$ is also summed in i from zero to infinity and then compared to the above result of the same sum, we obtain

$$(C - f(\psi))\pi_\Psi(\psi) = \sum_{\psi'' \in \mathbb{X}} \left\{p_{\Psi_{i+1}|\Psi_i}(\psi|\psi'') - \delta_{\psi,\psi''}\right\} R(\psi'') \tag{56}$$

For notational convenience we abbreviate the right hand-side of Equation 56 by $D(\psi)$.

For ψ with $q > 0$ and $\tilde{y} = 0$

$$\begin{aligned}D(\psi) =& (\bar{\lambda}\bar{\mu} - 1)R(q, 0) + \bar{\lambda}\bar{\mu}R(q + 1, 1) + \lambda\bar{\mu}R(q, 1) \\ &+ \lambda\bar{\mu}R(q - 1, 0)\end{aligned} \tag{57}$$

For ψ with $q > 0$ and $\tilde{y} = 1$

$$D(\psi) = (\lambda\mu - 1)R(q,1) + \bar{\lambda}\mu R(q+1,1) + \bar{\lambda}\mu R(q,0) + \lambda\mu R(q-1,0) \quad (58)$$

And for ψ with $q = 0$ and $\tilde{y} = 0$

$$D(\psi) = -\lambda R(0,0) + \bar{\lambda} R(1,1) \quad (59)$$

Adding Equations 57 and 58 yields

$$\bar{\lambda}R(q+1,1) - \lambda R(q,0) - \bar{\lambda}R(q,1) + \lambda R(q-1,0) \quad (60)$$

We now sum Equation 56 in two ways:

$$\sum_{\psi' \in \mathbb{X}: q' \leq q} D(\psi) = \bar{\lambda}R(q+1,1) - \lambda R(q,0) = M(q,0) \quad (61)$$

for $q \geq 0$ where we defined

$$M(q,0) = \sum_{\psi' \in \mathbb{X}: q' \leq q} (C - f(\psi))\pi_\Psi(\psi) \quad (62)$$

and

$$\sum_{\psi' \in \mathbb{X}: q' < q || q' = q, \tilde{y} = 1} D(\psi) = -\lambda\bar{\mu}R(q-1,0) - \lambda\bar{\mu}R(q,1) + \bar{\lambda}\mu R(q,0) + \bar{\lambda}\mu R(q+1,1) = M(q,1) \quad (63)$$

for $q \geq 1$ where we defined

$$M(q,1) = \sum_{\psi \in \mathbb{X}: q' < q || q' = q, \tilde{y} = 1} (C - f(\psi))\pi_\Psi(\psi) \quad (64)$$

We can combine Equation 61 and 63 to obtain the first order recurrence

$$R(q+1,0) = \frac{\lambda\bar{\mu}}{\bar{\lambda}\mu} R(q,0) + \tilde{M}(q) \quad (65)$$

for $q \geq 0$ where

$$\tilde{M}(q) = \frac{1}{\mu} M(q+1,1) - M(q+1,0) + \frac{\lambda\bar{\mu}}{\bar{\lambda}\mu} M(q,0) \quad (66)$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} M(q,0) &= C\left(1 - \frac{\pi_Q(0)}{\bar{\mu}} \frac{\left(\frac{\lambda\bar{\mu}}{\bar{\lambda}\mu}\right)^{q+1}}{1 - \frac{\lambda\bar{\mu}}{\bar{\lambda}\mu}}\right) - \sum_{\psi \in \mathbb{X}: q' \leq q} f(\psi)\pi_\Psi(\psi) \\ &= \frac{\pi_Q(0)}{\bar{\mu}} \frac{\left(\frac{\lambda\bar{\mu}}{\bar{\lambda}\mu}\right)^{q+1}}{1 - \frac{\lambda\bar{\mu}}{\bar{\lambda}\mu}} \left(\mu \log(\frac{\mu}{\lambda}) + \bar{\mu}\log(\frac{\bar{\mu}}{\bar{\lambda}}) - C\right) \\ &= \frac{\bar{\lambda}}{\bar{\mu}} \left(\frac{\lambda\bar{\mu}}{\bar{\lambda}\mu}\right)^{q+1} \left(\mu \log(\frac{\mu}{\lambda}) + \bar{\mu}\log(\frac{\bar{\mu}}{\bar{\lambda}}) - C\right) \\ &= c_{M0} \left(\frac{\lambda\bar{\mu}}{\bar{\lambda}\mu}\right)^{q+1} \end{aligned} \quad (67)$$

and with this Equation 66 becomes

$$\tilde{M}(q) = \frac{1}{\mu} M(q+1,1) \quad (68)$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} M(q+1,1) &= M(q,0) + (C - \log\frac{\mu}{\lambda})\pi_Q(0)\frac{\mu}{\bar{\mu}} \left(\frac{\lambda\bar{\mu}}{\bar{\lambda}\mu}\right)^{q+1} \\ &= \left(\frac{\lambda\bar{\mu}}{\bar{\lambda}\mu}\right)^{q+1} \left\{c_{M0} + (C - \log\frac{\mu}{\lambda})\pi_Q(0)\frac{\mu}{\bar{\mu}}\right\} \end{aligned}$$

So we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{M}(q) &= \left(\frac{\lambda\bar{\mu}}{\bar{\lambda}\mu}\right)^{q+1} \left\{\frac{c_{M0}}{\mu} + (C - \log\frac{\mu}{\lambda})\frac{\pi_Q(0)}{\bar{\mu}}\right\} \\ &= c_{\tilde{M}} \left(\frac{\lambda\bar{\mu}}{\bar{\lambda}\mu}\right)^{q+1} \end{aligned} \quad (69)$$

and the generating function

$$\tilde{M}(z) = \sum_{q \geq 0} \tilde{M}(q) z^q = \frac{c_{\tilde{M}} \frac{\lambda\bar{\mu}}{\bar{\lambda}\mu}}{1 - z\frac{\lambda\bar{\mu}}{\bar{\lambda}\mu}} \quad (70)$$

We now define two new sequences a_q and b_q such that

$$R(q,0) = a_q + b_q R(0,0) \quad (71)$$

Clearly, $a_0 = 0$ and $b_0 = 1$. By substituting Equation 71 into Equation 65 we find that

$$a_{q+1} = \frac{\lambda\bar{\mu}}{\bar{\lambda}\mu} a_q + \tilde{M}(q) \quad (72)$$

and

$$b_{q+1} = \frac{\lambda\bar{\mu}}{\bar{\lambda}\mu} b_q \quad (73)$$

The solution to the recurrence b_q is obvious

$$b_q = \left(\frac{\lambda\bar{\mu}}{\bar{\lambda}\mu}\right)^q \quad (74)$$

In order to obtain the solution to the recurrence b_q we employ the generating function method [**?**]

$$\sum_{q \geq 0} a_{q+1} z^q = \frac{\lambda\bar{\mu}}{\bar{\lambda}\mu} \sum_{q \geq 0} a_q z^q + \sum_{q \geq 0} \tilde{M}(q) z^q \quad (75)$$

and therefore

$$z^{-1}A(z) = \frac{\lambda\bar{\mu}}{\bar{\lambda}\mu} A(z) + \tilde{M}(z) \quad (76)$$

We can now solve this equation for $A(z)$ to obtain

$$A(z) = \frac{\tilde{M}(z)}{z^{-1} - \frac{\lambda\bar{\mu}}{\bar{\lambda}\mu}} = c_{\tilde{M}} \frac{\frac{\lambda\bar{\mu}}{\bar{\lambda}\mu} z}{\left(1 - \frac{\lambda\bar{\mu}}{\bar{\lambda}\mu} z\right)^2} \quad (77)$$

and the corresponding sequence

$$a_q = c_{\tilde{M}} q \left(\frac{\lambda\bar{\mu}}{\bar{\lambda}\mu}\right)^q \quad (78)$$

Finally

$$R(q,0) = a_q + b_q R(0,0) = c_{\tilde{M}} q \left(\frac{\lambda\bar{\mu}}{\bar{\lambda}\mu}\right)^q + \left(\frac{\lambda\bar{\mu}}{\bar{\lambda}\mu}\right)^q R(0,0) \quad (79)$$

Further

$$\sum_{\psi\in\mathbb{X}} r(\psi,i) = \sum_{\psi'\in\mathbb{X}} (f(\psi')-C)\pi_\Psi(\psi') \sum_{\psi\in\mathbb{X}} p_{\Psi_i|\Psi_0}(\psi|\psi') = 0 \tag{80}$$

and summing this equation in i yields

$$\sum_{\psi\in\mathbb{X}} R(\psi) = 0 \tag{81}$$

This result now allows us to compute $R(0,0)$: Using Equation 61 we can write

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\psi\in\mathbb{X}} R(\psi) &= \sum_{q\geq 0} R(q,0) + \sum_{q\geq 0}\left(\frac{\lambda}{\bar{\lambda}}R(q,0) + \frac{1}{\bar{\lambda}}M(q,0)\right)\\ &= \frac{1}{\bar{\lambda}}\sum_{q\geq 0}\left(R(q,0)+M(q,0)\right)\end{aligned} \tag{82}$$

Combining Equations 71,81 and 82 then yields

$$\begin{aligned}R(0,0) &= -\frac{\sum_{q\geq 0} a_q + \sum_{q\geq 0} M(q,0)}{\sum_{q\geq 0} b_q}\\ &= -c_{\tilde{M}}\frac{\frac{\lambda\bar{\mu}}{\bar{\lambda}\mu}}{1-\frac{\lambda\bar{\mu}}{\bar{\lambda}\mu}} - c_{M0}\frac{\lambda\bar{\mu}}{\bar{\lambda}\mu}\end{aligned} \tag{83}$$

Using the expressions for $R(j+1,1)$, $R(j,0)$ and $M(j,0)$ from Equations 61, 79 and 67, respectively, we are eventually in a position to simplify the expression in Equation 52:

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\mathrm{Cov}(f(\Psi_0),f(\Psi_i)) &= \log\frac{1}{\bar{\lambda}}R(0,0)\\ &+ \log\frac{\mu}{\lambda}\left(\frac{\lambda}{\bar{\lambda}}\sum_{q\geq 0}R(q,0) + \frac{1}{\bar{\lambda}}\sum_{q\geq 0}M(q,0)\right) + \log\frac{\bar{\mu}}{\bar{\lambda}}\sum_{q>0}R(q,0)\end{aligned} \tag{84}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{q\geq 0} R(q,0) &= c_{\tilde{M}}\frac{\frac{\lambda\bar{\mu}}{\bar{\lambda}\mu}}{\left(1-\frac{\lambda\bar{\mu}}{\bar{\lambda}\mu}\right)^2} + \frac{R(0,0)}{1-\frac{\lambda\bar{\mu}}{\bar{\lambda}\mu}}\\ &= -c_{M0}\frac{\frac{\lambda\bar{\mu}}{\bar{\lambda}\mu}}{1-\frac{\lambda\bar{\mu}}{\bar{\lambda}\mu}}\end{aligned} \tag{85}$$

and

$$\sum_{q\geq 0} M(q,0) = c_{M0}\frac{\frac{\lambda\bar{\mu}}{\bar{\lambda}\mu}}{1-\frac{\lambda\bar{\mu}}{\bar{\lambda}\mu}} \tag{86}$$

The claim then follows. ■

REFERENCES

[1] A. S. Bedekar and M. Azizoglu, "On the information-theoretic capacity of discrete-time queues," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 44, pp. 446–461, 1998.

[2] S. Meyn and R. L. Tweedie, *Markov Chains and Stochastic Stability*, 2nd ed. New York, NY, USA: Cambridge University Press, 2009.

[3] R. M. Gray, *Probability, Random Processes, and Ergodic Properties*, 2nd ed. New York, NY, USA: Springer, 2009.

[4] V. Anantharam and S. Verdu, "Bits through queues," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 42, pp. 4–18, 1996.

[5] H. Takagi, *Queueing Analysis: A Foundation of Performance Evaluation*. Amsterdam, The Netherlands: North Holland, 1993, vol. 3.

[6] A. Feinstein, "A new basic theorem of information theory," *IRE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. PGIT-4, pp. 2–22, 1954.

[7] F. M. Spieksma and R. L. Tweedie, "Strengthening ergodicity to geometric ergodicity for markov chains," *Stochastic Models*, vol. 10, pp. 45–75, 1994.

[8] S. Meyn, *Control Techniques for Complex Networks*, 1st ed. New York, NY, USA: Cambridge University Press, 2007.

[9] I. Kontoyiannis and S. Meyn, "Spectral theory and limit theorems for geometrically ergodic markov processes," in *the 2001 INFORMS Applied Probability Conference*, 2001, pp. 304–362.

[10] W. Whitt, "Asymptotic formulas for markov processes with applications to simulation," *Operations Research*, vol. 40, pp. 279–291, 1992.

[11] D. Y. Burman, "A functional central limit theorem for birth and death processes," *ORSA/TIMS Conference*, 1980.

[12] J. G. Kemeny and J. L. Snell, *Finite Markov Chains*. Princeton, N.J., USA: Van-Nostrand, 1960.

[13] W. Grassmann, "The asymptotic variance of a time average in a birth-death process," *Annals of Operations Research*, vol. 8, pp. 165–174, 1987.